INTRODUCTION

Virtual Evolved Packet Core (vEPC) is the core of the 3G and 4G mobile network used for service provisioning for mobile users. The main functionality of the EPC is it acts as an interface between the 3G and 4G radio interfaces and public IP networks. vEPC is implemented as virtual machines (VMs) on COTS servers. These services involve transfer of voice, data and video from a mobile device to an IP network. Netronome Agilio® SmartNICs provide a 5X increase in vEPC bandwidth on the same number of CPU cores by using the OVS offload and SR-IOV technologies.

Evolved Packet Core (EPC) has been deployed for many years in various platforms using dedicated servers for mobile traffic workloads within the core network. Since mobile traffic is increasing exponentially, the mobile operators are addressing this demand with investments in network and compute appliances. In the EPC the processing of voice, data and video is integrated into a single IP domain. The advancement in virtualization and network functions virtualization (NFV) technologies has led to the implementation of the EPC components as virtual machines on x86 servers. The implementation of the EPC components as virtual networking functions (VNFs) is termed as vEPC. In this white paper we discuss the advantages of implementing the vEPC on x86 server with Netronome Agilio SmartNICs.
4G WIRELESS NETWORK ARCHITECTURE

The Figure 1 shows the components of the 4G LTE network. Following are the main components of the 4G network:

1. **Mobile Device** – Any wireless device capable of transmitting and receiving data in a radio network.
2. **eNodeB** – Radio access node (base station) that communicates with the mobile device and connects with serving gateway (S-GW) on the other side using the GTP-U protocol.
3. **MME (Mobile Management entity)** – MME controls the signaling in 4G LTE NW, it is part of the control plane functionality.
4. **S-GW (serving gateway)** – Acts as a router, which forwards data between the base station and PDN gateway (P-GW).
5. **P-GW (Packet data network gateway)** – This is the termination point of the packet data interface towards the IP network. PDN is responsible for policy rule enforcement, packet filtering, DPI etc.
6. **IP Network** – P-GW connects to the provider’s IP services, which are part of the IMS (IP multimedia services – voice, data & video).

**EVOLVED PACKET CORE IN 4G NETWORKS**

EPC is all IP-based service provisioning for the 4G LTE network. EPC provides fast network performance by separating the control and data planes in the LTE network. It reduces the hierarchy between mobile network elements because in the EPC architecture, the data channels are established through the EPC gateways (S-GW and P-GW).

The Major advantages of the EPC architecture in a 4G network are:

1. Backward compatibility and interworking with the 3G mobile network architecture.
2. Voice, data and video services are built on the IP network.
3. Scalability in terms of bandwidth, number of subscribers and terminal mobility.
4. High reliability of the services.
VIRTUALIZED EVOLVED PACKET CORE (vEPC)

The components of the EPC can be implemented in the dedicated servers, with the emergence of cloud-based server architectures with NFV and SDN technologies, the EPC components can be implemented as the VNFs on the x86 servers while still maintaining the high availability and scaling requirements. The advantages of implementing the EPC into the VMs are:

- Independence of vendor hardware
- Scalability (More VNFs can be instantiated on the same hardware if number of connections or BW requirement increases)

In this paper, we describe the advantage of using Netronome Agilio SmartNICs over traditional network adapters on a server. The test setup for the vEPC performance measurement is shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3. Each of the boxes represents a physical Dell R730 server with 24 physical cores (48 NUMA hyper threaded cores -vCPUs).

The setup simulates a vEPC network, specifically for GPRS tunneling protocol for user plane (GTP-u) data traffic, such as is used in the 4G Mobile Network. The benchmarks for the vEPC have been performed for three parameters: network throughput, packet latency and CPU utilization.

For the performance comparison, a traditional network interface card (NIC) and Agilio CX (the Netronome 1x40G Agilio SmartNIC) is used on the eNodeB/MME and gateway (P/S-GW) servers. The User Equipment (UE) and Public Data Network (PDN) servers are used with the traditional NIC as they just act as packet source and sink for the mobile traffic.

The Agilio CX and traditional NIC are used with a 1x40Gb/s to 4x10Gb/s breakout cable with two 10G fiber cables connected between adjacent servers to provide 20 Gb/s connectivity, so the total end-to-end network bandwidth between the UE to Echo server is 20Gb/s (of total 40Gb/s available).

**Figure 2. vEPC Test Setup with traditional Network Interface Card**
**vEPC Packet Flow and Test Parameters**

The MME component is bundled with an eNodeB emulator, which emulates the termination of the radio interface, and the endpoint for the GTP-u tunnel. The SGW and PGW are bundled together on a single VM instance on a separate server. Many of the VM instances can be created based on the bandwidth requirement. The Control Path (SCTP) traffic has not been an objective of testing in this project.

Each of the VM (VNF) runs the following application software:

**TIP – Tieto IP Stack (User Space Implementation)**

The Tieto IP stack (TIP) has a long history as a preferred IP stack in Telecom applications, from handsets, to various nodes in the 2G and 3G mobile networks.

TIP is a portable, telecom grade RFC compliant stack, supporting the TCP/IP family of protocols (IPv4/IPv6) in single or dual mode. TIP has a modular SW architecture, which allows easy interoperation with the standard Linux stack. TIP is used in a poll mode configuration on top of DPDK.

**vEPC Application Software**

The MME establishes UE sessions by sending requests over the S1-U interface to PDN. When a session is established via the MME-emulator, all subsequent traffic is sent from the UE via the eNodeB and SGW/PGW to the PDN, and back through the same path.

The PDN Gateway provides connectivity from the UE to external packet data networks by being the point of entry and exit of traffic, to and from the PDN.

The application is configured with IPv4 addresses for each of the following interfaces:

- **SGW- S11 Interface - Control Plane - interface between MME and S-GW, used to support mobility and bearer management.**
- **SGW-S5 Interface - S-GW side of control plane - providing tunnel management between Serving GW (S-GW) and PDN GW (P-GW)**
- **PGW-S5 Interface - P-GW side of control plane - providing tunnel management between serving GW (S-GW) and PDN GW(P-GW)**
- **S1/S5/S8 - User Plane - tunnel: eNB ↔ S1 Interface ↔ SGW ↔ S5/S8 Interface ↔ PGW**

![Figure 3. vEPC Test Setup with Netronome Agilio CX](image)
PACKET FLOW IN THE vEPC

The test system consists of four servers:

- “StressMe-UE” node – traffic generator, tests performer
- “eNB-MME” node – evolved Node B and Mobility Management Entity
- “SGW-PGW” node – Serving Gateway and Packet Data Network Gateway
- “EchoServer-PDN” node – Packet Data Network Simulator

The performance tests are conducted with a number of separate channels in parallel that extends end-to-end, between the StressMe-UE and EchoServer-PDN nodes, running through eNB-MME and SGW-PGW, making each channel independent. Each vEPC instance is running in its own VM with separate memory spaces, virtual cores mapped to the physical cores on the server, L1 and L2 cache.

All VMs are started up before the test is run. Traffic is TCP, generated with iperf3 in client mode on the UE node, and terminated by iperf3 in server mode on the PDN node.

CPU CORE MAPPING FOR NETRONOME AGILIO CX

In order to ensure maximal performance of the VM, the CPU intensive threads related to a VM have to be placed on a dedicated core without any hyperthreads – or at least hyperthreads with very little load. Otherwise the other hyperthread will contend for the core, and the throughput of the VM drops. The EPC application and TIP stack is running as one monolith inside the VM, and accessing the interface in PMD mode (Poll Mode).

For Agilio CX each VM has two virtual cores assigned, one of which is used by the guest OS, and the other by the TIP/EPC application. The former is hardly loaded and can be placed on the hyperthread sibling of the one running the TIP/EPC thread.

In the case of the traditional NIC, traffic is passed to and from the VMs through Virtio, by the use of supplementary Vhost processes, one for each interface. Both consume a considerable...
amount of CPU power. In addition, traffic is delivered from the NIC by the use of IRQs, which also consumes about 50% of a core. Thus the VM with traditional requires 3-4 dedicated cores, to run efficiently and without contending with other VMs for the same CPU resources.

**BENCHMARKS FOR THE vEPC**

In the test setup described above the throughput, latency and CPU utilization benchmarks are measured while running the 4G simulated traffic through the emulated eNodeB and vEPC mobile core. For the comparison, traditional NICs and Netronome Agilio CX are used on eNodeB and vEPC servers in two different measurements with the same traffic patterns.

**Throughput Benchmarks**

The throughput is measured in terms of million packets per second (Mpps) for different packet sizes. The throughput increases as the number of VMs increase on the eNB and vEPC server as each VM has a limit to the number of packets it can process.

**Traditional NIC**

With the traditional NIC on the eNB and vEPC server, we need 3.3 cores per VM as it uses Virtio. These 3.3 cores are used for the following functionality:

- DPDK-thread inside the VM which is mapped to one of the VM threads on the host.
- Vhost 1, relaying traffic between one port on the NIC to the Hypervisor
- Vhost 2, relaying traffic between the other port on the NIC to the Hypervisor
- Interrupt Requests arriving from the NIC

Since 3.3 cores are used for the single VM, only 7 VMs can be created with a 24-core dual slot Xeon server.

**Agilio CX**

Since the Agilio CX is running the OVS offload Agilio software and using the PCI SR-IOV functionality, it does not have the overhead of the Vhost and interrupt processing as in case of the traditional NIC. The VM implementation on the Dell server with Agilio CX takes only about 1.1 CPU cores. Therefore, on a dual-socket 24 core Dell R730 server, up to 22 VMs can be implemented.

*Figure 5. Throughput for Traditional NIC and Agilio CX*
As seen in Figure 5, for 128B packets with maximum number of VMs (7) the traditional NIC can achieve 2.5 Mpps (2.9 Gb/s). The Agilio CX SmartNIC can generate performance of 13Mpps (15.5Gb/s for 128B packet) with up to 22 VMs on the same server.

In the case of Agilio CX, the throughput growth rate flattens after the 16th VM, and stays at that level even with more VMs added. This means that performance faces bandwidth bottleneck; one possible cause may be the ‘slow’ QPI bus between the NUMA nodes which congests the traffic.

**Latency Benchmarks**

Latency is measured from end-to-end (UE server to PDN server) as in the current setup it is not possible to breakdown the latency between different components of the data path such as NIC latency, Application SW latency etc.

The cumulative latency is the latency distribution integrated over the range of the latencies. This is a common way of presenting distributions and has the benefit of being both compact and informative at the same time. Additionally, it allows the ability to read off the median and percentiles easily.

**Traditional NIC**

The cumulative frequency represents the percentage of the total packets with the latency number on the X-axis. As shown in the Figure 6 below, for the traditional NIC the 90% of all the packet sizes have below 1.5 milliseconds of the latency.

**Agilio CX SmartNIC**

In the case of the Agilio CX, the observations are somewhat different but they comply with the expected behavior. All the packet sizes are saturated (reaches 20G available bandwidth) except 128B packets, so the packet sizes greater than 128B packets observe the higher latency as packets start queuing up in the transmit queue.

![Figure 6. Latency for Traditional NIC and Agilio CX](image)

For 128B packet size, more than 90% of the 128B packets observe the round trip latency of less than 1ms, which is about 50% better than as observed in the case of the traditional NIC.

**CPU Load/Utilization Benchmarks**

The CPU load benchmarking on the servers is tricky as the CPU cores with the VM running the TIP stack and vEPC application software are almost fully loaded while the cores with the side
band processing and interrupt processing are lightly loaded.

For the CPU load benchmarking, we have collected the loads of 24 CPUs and averaged it to plot the average CPU load on the left side of the Y-Axis. Additionally, on the right side of the Y-axis, relative load is shown. The relative load indicates the CPU load per Mpps.

The Load Average is a number, which Linux reports together with the CPU load on each core. Also, it is one global measure of the load on, and contention for the CPUs.

A core that is fully loaded will have a Load Average of 1, underloaded, a LA < 1, and over-loaded, a LA > 1. A LA > 1, means that the core is fully occupied but other processes are also waiting for CPU time slice. Therefore, the ideal LA is just below 1 per core.

Dell R730 servers used for the benchmarking have 24 cores and 48 vCPUs. So if fully loaded, it would have a LA of 24. The hyper threads are counted in the LA total as well, but they cannot be used as they will degrade the performance per VM, making the VMs interdependent. LA is normalized as a percentage of the total so if Linux reports a LA of 6, it is translated into 25% (6/24*100) loaded.

The relative LA is just the LA divided by the throughput so it represents the CPU load per Mpps of throughput.

**Figure 7. CPU Load for Traditional NIC and Agilio CX**

**Traditional NIC**

Figure-7 shows the CPU load on vEPC server. As seen from the left side graph for 128B packet (solid red line) the relative peak load at highest rate achieved (2.5 Mpps) is about 45% and the relative load is 18% per Mpps.

**Agilio CX SmartNIC**

CPU loads for the Agilio CX SmartNIC are shown in the right side graph above. Looking at the CPU load for 128B packet (solid red line), the CPU Load average at the peak-achieved rate (13 Mpps or 15Gb/s) is 52% and the relative load average at peak rate is 4% (load per Mpps).

For the comparison with traditional NIC, consider the 2.5 Mpps throughput for Agilio CX in Figure 7 above, as seen from the graphs the load average is 12% and relative load average is 4% (CPU load per Mpps).
CONCLUSION

The vEPC measurement results leads to the following conclusions:

- Traditional NIC peak rate achievable for vEPC load is 2.5 Mpps (2.9 Gbps).
- Agilio CX peak rate achievable for vEPC load is 13 Mpps (15 Gbps).
- Comparative results
  - **Throughput**: Server with Agilio CX can achieve the 5X (15 vs. 2.9) throughput of traditional NIC.
  - **Number of VMs**: Server with Agilio CX can implement 3X more VMs than traditional NIC. (22 vs. 7).
  - **Latency**: Round trip latencies are about 50% better than traditional NIC (1 milliseconds vs. 1.5 milliseconds).
  - **CPU load**: At the same line rate (2.9 Gbps) the CPU load observed is more than 4X lower than the traditional NIC (45% vs. 12% on the average load and 18% vs. 4% on the relative load).

The performance improvements of the Agilio CX over the traditional NIC are compared side by side in Figure 8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETERS</th>
<th>TRADITIONAL NIC</th>
<th>AGILIO CX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Rate (Mpps) 128B</td>
<td>2.9 Gb/s</td>
<td>15 Gb/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Throughput at SGW-U instance (GTP) – 128B</td>
<td>2.5 Mpps</td>
<td>13 Mpps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Throughput at PGW-U instance (GTP) – 128B</td>
<td>2.5 Mpps</td>
<td>13 Mpps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of UP VMIs</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative CPU Utilization (on the UP server) 128B</td>
<td>18% / Mpps</td>
<td>4% / Mpps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latency UE ←→ PDN, RTT, 128B</td>
<td>1.5 ms</td>
<td>1.0 ms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note – The parameters are measured at 20G line rate (of total 40G available) for Traditional NIC and Agilio CX

*Figure 8. Performance parameters for traditional NIC and Agilio CX*

From the results, it can be seen that the Netronome Agilio SmartNIC along with Agilio software, which uses the SR-IOV and OVS offloads provides more than 5X increase in the vEPC performance.

Agilio SmartNICs take advantage of OVS data path offload with support for SR-IOV for direct communication from VMs to the Agilio CX hardware. This saves considerable CPU resources and enables 3X more VMs per server as compared to a traditional NIC. This translates to significantly lower data center server capital expenditure.